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Introduction
Organic thin films have found many applications in molecular electronics, sensors, flexible displays, and photovoltaic devices. The performance of those devices often strongly depends on the quality and homogeneity of the dielectric properties of the organic thin films. However, most of the existing techniques used for dielectric constant measurement are either for bulk materials or averaged over large areas, thus lacking the lateral resolution for localized characterization. Near-field scanning microwave microscopy (NSMM) was one of the techniques developed for quantitative measurement of local complex dielectric constants.1,2,3 A number of attempts have been made to combine microwave analysis and AFM.4,5 The most recent development was to combine high precision microwave measurement of a vector network analyzer (VNA) and nanoscale capability of a high performance AFM for localized characterization. Here we demonstrate the application of scanning microwave microscopy (SMM) for the study of organic thin films, using self-assembled monolayers of decanethiol (C10) and octadecanethiol (C18) on Au(111) surface as a model system. The quantitative capacitance measurement was accomplished by carefully calibrating the instrument against a capacitance standard developed by NIST. With such calibration, the capacitances can be measured quantitatively with attofarad resolution.

Experimental

Materials: Decanethiol (C10) and octadecanethiol (C18) are purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and are dissolved in ethanol, also purchased from Aldrich, to form 0.02 mM of solutions for the preparation of SAM samples. Au(111) substrate is from epitaxial grown Au film on mica.

Instrumentation: The SMM setup includes a 5600LS AFM platform from Agilent and a PNA N5230C network analyzer. Tips used in the experiment are conductive Rocky Mountain Pt cantilevers (RMN 12Pt 400A) with a length of 400 µm, a width of 60 µm, a shank length of 100 µm, and a nominal spring constant of 0.3 N/m. For principles of SMM and its operation please see other application notes from Agilent.6,7

Calibration of SMM using a NIST Capacitance Standard. The standard sample used for the calibration of absolute capacitance measurement is developed by NIST, it has a series of Au pads of known area deposited on a layer of SiO2. The thickness of the SiO2 layer is 50 nm and the substrate is highly doped Si, as shown in Figure 1. The diameters of the row of Au pads labeled 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 in the image are 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 micrometers, respectively. The corresponding capacitances of these structures are 1.82, 4.10, 7.29, 11.40 and 16.41 femtofarads, respectively.

Figure 1. AFM topography image of NIST capacitance standard.
SMM measures the impedance at the tip/sample interface by measuring the reflection coefficient $S_{11}$, as defined by the following equation

$$S_{11} = \frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_L + Z_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $Z_0$ is the characteristic impedance of the transmission line, typically 50 ohms, and $Z_L$ is the load impedance, which is equivalent to the impedance at the tip/sample interface in this case. Since the impedance at the tip/sample interface is governed by the capacitance when measuring dielectric thin films, the reflection coefficient $S_{11}$ can be directly correlated to the capacitance, or the dielectric properties of the samples under test. Figure 2 shows the changes of $S_{11}$ as functions of microwave frequency measured on different Au pads. As the Au pad area increases, so does the capacitance, which will in turn cause the $S_{11}$ curve to shift and change in amplitude.

Based on the approach in Reference 4, when the capacitance is small, the change in capacitance and $S_{11}$ follows a linear relationship.

$$\Delta C = k \Delta S_{11}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $k$ is the transfer coefficient of the system that converts the measured $S_{11}$ data to the capacitance change at the tip/sample interface.

During the measurement, the SMM is operated at a fixed frequency $f_c$, close to the resonance frequency, i.e., the lowest point on the frequency curve shown in Figure 2. Therefore, the calibration is also carried out at the same frequency. Figure 3 shows the linear plot between $\Delta C$ and $\Delta S_{11}$ measured at 2.1 GHz which is the operating frequency for this experiment. The plot fits well to a linear regression, suggesting the validity of Equation 2 for capacitance in the femtofarad range.

However, the transfer coefficient cannot be obtained simply from the linear plot in Figure 3. The precisely patterned capacitance structures are made on highly-doped Si substrate, and the dielectric material between the two electrodes is SiO$_2$; therefore, there always exists an intrinsic capacitance $C_{ox}$ at the SiO$_2$/Si interface and this capacitance will contribute to the total capacitance that is affecting the $S_{11}$ signal of the system. The exact value of this capacitance $C_{ox}$ is unknown, however, it depends on the size of the Au pad but not the thickness of the SiO$_2$ layer. Since the total capacitance can be seen as two components in serial, i.e., the capacitance of the Au/SiO$_2$/Si ($C_{pad}$) and that of the SiO$_2$/Si ($C_{ox}$), the total capacitance ($C_{total}$) can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{C_{total}} = \frac{1}{C_{pad}} + \frac{1}{C_{ox}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and,

$$\frac{1}{\Delta S_{11}} = \frac{k}{C_{total}} = \frac{k}{C_{pad}} + \frac{k}{C_{ox}} = \frac{kd}{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 A} + const$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Therefore, the transfer coefficient $k$ can be obtained from a plot of the measured PNA signal ($1/\Delta S_{11}$) against the thickness of the SiO$_2$ film ($d$), provided the measurements are all done with Au pads of the same area. For a detailed study on SMM calibration for absolute capacitance measurement, one is referred to the work done in Reference 8.

The structure designed for such calibration are shown in Figure 4, which consists of Au pads of different sizes deposited on SiO$_2$ layers of different thickness. The nominal diameters of these Au pads are 1, 2, 3, and 4 µm, and the thickness of the SiO$_2$ layers are 50, 100, 150, and 200 nm, respectively. The Au pads on the same row are of the same size, e.g., the row of Au pads along the profile line all have a nominal area of 7.07 µm$^2$. A simple GUI for capacitance calibration is provided in PicoView.

Based on Equation 4, the calibration is done by measuring the $S_{11}$ amplitude against the SiO$_2$ background for Au pads of the same size sitting on different SiO$_2$ steps, i.e., the Au pads on the same row across different SiO$_2$ layers. After putting the measured data and the area of the Au pads used for measurement into the window, the inversed PNA...
amplitude ($1/\Delta S_{11}$) is plotted against the film thickness to obtain the transfer coefficient, $k$. A screenshot of the capacitance calibration window from PicoView is presented in Figure 5.

Preparation of C18/C10 Mixed SAM Layers.

The pattern formed by C18 within C10 self-assembled monolayer matrix on Au surface is generated by a method known as Nanografting. The Au substrate was first immersed into the C10 thiol solution. The C10 thiol molecules initially absorb onto gold with the hydrocarbon chains oriented parallel to the substrate. When the surface coverage of this so-called lying-down phase increases to near saturation, continuous collisions by thiols from solution induce a lateral pressure and lead to a two dimensional phase transition, during which thiols reorient from an intermediate lying-down state to the ultimate standing up configuration. Since the activation energy of the phase transition step for organothiols with a single SH group is moderate, molecules can overcome the energy barrier and convert to an upright monolayer readily at room temperature. After the C10 SAM layer was formed, the sample was put under an AFM tip in a liquid cell containing C18 thiol molecules. An area on the surface was scanned with high force and the C10 molecules under impact were removed from the surface. The freshly exposed area of gold is confined by the surrounding undisturbed matrix molecules. Under controlled conditions, it can be covered by the abundant C18 thiol molecules to form a restricted SAM layer inside the C10 matrix. Then the surface was dried with N₂ gas and setup for SMM imaging. As shown by the friction image (Figure 6B), a 2x2 μm pattern of C18 inside C10 matrix was created by nanografting. The structure of the compact monolayer of those thiol molecules on Au surface has been well characterized. The thickness of the C10 SAM layer is 1.32 nm while that of the C18 SAM layer is about 2.2 nm, resulting in a height difference of 0.9 nm between the two layers. Since the height difference is small, it is not clearly visible in the topography image (Figure 6A), however, the difference between the two SAM layers is clearly visible in the friction image.

Capacitance measurement on C18/C10 mixed SAM layers

Figure 6C and 6D shows the amplitude and phase images of the $S_{11}$ signal measured simultaneously with the topography and the friction. The reflection coefficient, as suggested by Equation (1), is complex by definition. Its phase angle indicates the contribution from nonlinear components of the device under test. In our case, it is related to the capacitance nature at tip and sample interface. Both of the amplitude and phase images clearly revealed the difference between the C10 and C18 SAM layers, it is the amplitude signal will be used to calculate the quantitative capacitance difference. As seen from Figure 1, an increase in the capacitance causes the $S_{11}$ curve to shift upwards and to a lower frequency. At the constant frequency used for this measurement, the $S_{11}$ signal will increase with capacitance. Because the dielectric constant of the two thiol molecules are quite the same, the thicker C18 SAM layer will give a smaller capacitance comparing to the thinner C10 SAM layer. Consequently, the image in Figure 6C shows a darker 2x2 μm area for the C18 SAM layer and a brighter contrast for the surrounding C10 SAM layer.

The phase image (Figure 6D) shows a reversed contrast comparing to the amplitude image. It needs to be pointed out that the changes in the phase of the $S_{11}$ signal also strongly depends on the microwave frequency, and it is difficult to interpret the contrast in the phase image without this knowledge.

The relative dielectric constant of alkane thiols has been reported to be ~2.0, and varies little with the length of the carbon chain. Assuming C10 and C18 thiols has the same relative dielectric constant, then the capacitance difference measured between the two SAM layers is only caused by the difference in thickness, according to Equation 4. The average
difference in $S_{11}$ measured from the image in Figure 6C is about 0.002 dB, corresponding to 24 aF in capacitance difference. A line profile from Figure 6C is presented in Figure 7, illustrating the calculation of $\Delta S_{11}$ from the measured $S_{11}$ amplitude. Since the contact area between the tip and sample is much larger compared to the thickness of those SAM layers, one can assume the tip sample interface acts like a simple parallel plate capacitor. The thickness of the C10 and C18 SAM layers are 1.3 nm and 2.2 nm, respectively, therefore, the effective contact area between the tip and the sample in this experiment can be estimated to be about 60 nm in diameter.

**Conclusions**

Scanning microwave microscopy can be used to study the dielectric properties of organic thin films, including self-assembled monolayers of organic molecules. As demonstrated in this work, with a careful calibration, SMM can detect attofarad range of small capacitance difference across the organic film. With the knowledge of the film thickness from a material of known relative dielectric constants, the effective contact area between the tip and the sample can be estimated based on established models. From the C10 and C18 SAM structure generated by nanografting, the effective contact area between the probe and the sample surface in this work is estimated to be about 60 nm in diameter. This knowledge of effective contact area can then be applied to measure dielectric constants of other films, or film thickness, etc., using the same probe.
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